IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2006 IN CRI.APPLN.4711/05.
WITH
CRI.APPLICATION NO.51 OF 2006 IN CRI.APPLN.4712/05.

Maharashtra Industrial Development Croporation and others. ... Applicants. (In both matters)

Versus.

P.J.Jadhav and another. ... Respondents. (In both matters)

Shri Sanjeev Kadam with Shri Vinay M.Bhate i/by M/s.Little & Co. for the Applicants.

Shri A.S.Shitole, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 22nd November, 2006.

P.C.:

1. The prayer (b) in the Applications reads thus:

> (b) That the four weeks time granted by this Hon'ble Court for approaching the learned Sessions Judge, Mumbai, may kindly be extended by some reasonable time on any such terms and conditions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

A perusal of the order dated 5th October 2005 passed by this Court discloses that no outer limit was fixed by this Court within which the Revision Application should be filed in the Sessions Court. Hence the prayer is

misconceived and cannot be granted.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the delay may come in the way of the Applicants in approaching the Sessions Court.

3. If the Applicants apply for condonation of delay and make out sufficient cause for condonation of delay, the concerned Court is bound to condone the delay in accordance with law. Hence no clarification is required in this behalf. The Applications are disposed of.

Judge.